UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/705,837	11/13/2003	Damien Galand	Q78254	4888
23373 SUGHRUE MI	7590 05/05/200 ON. PLLC	EXAMINER		
2100 PENNSY	LVANIA AVENUE, N	MURPHY, RHONDA L		
SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20037			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2616	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/05/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/705,837	GALAND, DAMIEN	
Examiner	Art Unit	

	RHONDA MURPHY	2616	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>11 March 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appetor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit eal (with appeal fee) in compliance v	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FII	n. LED WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount on hortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be a considered and amendment (a). They raise new issues that would require further core (b). They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c). They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or. (d). They present additional claims without canceling a considered and the	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w); ter form for appeal by materially rec	E below); ducing or simplifying the	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [owable if submitted in a separate, t ☐ will not be entered, or b) ☑ will	imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-12. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	ll and/or appellant fails ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 		condition for allowan	ce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (13. ☐ Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/FIRMIN BACKER/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2616			

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: applicant's arguments are not persuasive. Applicant requests a secondary reference to illustrate a second network management system managing a second network. Please refer to Gao (US 2003/0108031 A1), Figure 2 illustrating multiple netwokr managers SWG 2070, 2050 and 1020 connected to networks 2040, 2030 and 1000, respectively. Applicant argues Cao fails to disclose the limitations of claims 2, 5 - 8. However, Examiner respectfully disagrees. Claim 2 recites, in part, "service criterion is selected from a group comprising at least quality of service, ability to protect/restore a link, and security". Cao discloses in columng 23, lines 35-37, the service criterion being that of a quality of service. As to claim 5, Cao discloses a network utilizing an IP protocol column 22, lines 4-16. IPsec is inherently used within IP networks for security purposes. As to claim 6, Cao discloses restoration links, column 6, lines 8-10; and assoicated resources, column 23, ilnes 44-47. As to claim 7, Cao discloses storing received data in memory in the form of a connectivty matrix between border routers of the first network; column 11, lines 4-5; column 22, lines 8-22. (cross connect system and binding table storing addresses for each node). As to claim 8, Cao discloses in Figure 12 and column 21, lines 28-47 a thrid control apparatus (CAP2) connected to a thrid NMS (ATM/IP 1210) managing a third communications network (ATM/IP 1210) connected to the sub-IP first network and of a different type, and from which the call tranfer request comes. Thus, Examiner's position is that all claimed limitations have been met and the rejection has been maintained.